

Allocation of funds	Date of advertisement of tender	No. of tender document sold	No. of tender submitted	Closing date of tender	Opening date of tender	Details/No. of bidders participated in tender	Name of the eligible and ineligible bidders		Technical Score	Name of the qualified and disqualification bidders		Financial bid opening date	Unit Price in PKR (With all applicable taxes)	Seniority according to evaluation	Reasons for disqualification	
							Eligible	Ineligible		Qualified	Disqualified					
Estimated Cost: PKR 91.8 Million	20-Feb-26	N/A	10	13-Mar-26	13-Mar-26	Adan Inventions Private Limited (Lead) Micromerger (Private) Limited (Consortium Partner)	-	-	71.00	YES	-	20-Apr-26	7,103	4		
						ASK Development Pvt. Ltd	-	-	70.00	-	YES		-	-	-	With reference to Section 7 of the Technical Evaluation criteria outlined in the bidding document, bidders were required to attach a detailed presentation (one slide per sub-section point). Furthermore, it was specified that PSDF would invite firms/companies for an in-person presentation, and marks would be awarded based on the soundness, innovativeness, enrichment, and relevance of the submitted content. Upon evaluation, the bidder did not secure the minimum qualifying marks required in this mandatory section.
						Center for Technical Trade & Technician Skills	-	-	91.00	YES	-		6,875	1	-	
						ECI (Private) Limited	-	-	71.00	YES	-		7,300	5	-	
						KBM Institute of Vocational Training (Lead)KBM Training & Recruitment (Consortium Partner)	-	-	93.00	YES	-		7,888	6	-	
						Max Education Services (SMC-Private) Limited	-	-	82.00	YES	-		7,076	3	-	
						NASR Training Institute Islamabad	-	YES	38.00	YES	-		-	-	No legal status document was attached. No live / recorded video demonstration for LMS was provided. Tax return did not meet the cut-off criteria for annual turnover. The attached evidence does not mention the individual details of the trainees trained.	
						Research & Solution Institute (Lead) NFC Institute of Engineering & Technology (Consortium Partner)	-	-	92.00	-	YES		-	-	With reference to Section 7 of the Technical Evaluation criteria outlined in the bidding document, bidders were required to attach a detailed presentation (one slide per sub-section point). Furthermore, it was specified that PSDF would invite firms/companies for an in-person presentation, and marks would be awarded based on the soundness, innovativeness, enrichment, and relevance of the submitted content. Upon evaluation, the bidder did not secure the minimum qualifying marks required in this mandatory section.	
						The Capital Technologies Private Limited	-	-	94.00	YES	-		6,887	2	-	
ULearn (Private) Limited	-	-	78.00	YES	-	8,500	7	-								



Nauman Asim
On behalf of Procurement Department - PSDF