



## Evaluation Report of Expression of Interest (EOI) Stage

Allocation of funds	Date of Advertisement of EOI	No. of EOI Document Sold	No. of EOI Submitted	Closing date of tender	Opening date of tender	Details/No. of Applicants participated in EOI	Name of the responsive and non-responsive applicants		Name of the Eligible Applicants and Ineligible		Name of the qualified Applicants and disqualification for RFP		Technical Score	Reasons for disqualification
							Responsive	Non-Responsive	Eligible	Ineligible	Qualified	Disqualified		
Estimated Cost: PKR 16.11 Million	21-Jun-25	N/A	19	09-Jul-25	09-Jul-25	APEX Consulting	-	Yes	-	-	-	-	-	Annexure F was not signed by competent authority
						Aslam Malik & Co., Chartered Accountants	Yes	-	-	Yes	-	-	-	The Supporting document against clause No.5 of the eligibility criteria does not fulfill the minimum required year of experience
						Associates in Development (Pvt) Ltd	Yes	-	Yes	-	Yes	-	72	-
						BDO Ebrahim & Co. Chartered Accountants	Yes	-	-	Yes	-	-	-	The supporting document against clause No.5 of the eligibility criteria does not fulfill the minimum required years of experience
						Contech Health International Pvt. Ltd	Yes	-	-	Yes	-	-	-	The supporting document against clause No.5 of the eligibility criteria does not fulfill the minimum required years of experience
						Edkasa	Yes	-	-	Yes	-	-	-	The supporting document against clause No.5 of the eligibility criteria does not fulfill the minimum required years of experience
						Empowerment thru Creative Integration	Yes	-	Yes	-	Yes	-	81	-
						EY Ford Rhodes	Yes	-	Yes	-	Yes	-	90	-
						FAME Education Consultants (Pvt.) Limited	-	Yes	-	-	-	-	-	Annexure F was not signed by competent authority
						GAT Consulting	Yes	-	Yes	-	Yes	-	85	-
						Himat Consulting Private Limited	Yes	-	Yes	-	Yes	-	72	-
						IN Consultant (Pvt) Ltd	Yes	-	-	Yes	-	-	-	The supporting document against clause No.5 of the eligibility criteria does not fulfill the minimum required years of experience
						Institute of Social and Policy Sciences (ISAPS)	Yes	-	Yes	-	Yes	-	75	-
						International Consulting Associates (Pvt.) Limited	Yes	-	Yes	-	Yes	-	70	-
						KPMG	Yes	-	Yes	-	Yes	-	85	-
						MS Al-Hussaini & Company (Pvt) Ltd	-	Yes	-	-	-	-	-	Your organization was not attached the Annexure F (Power of Attorney).
						NAUMAN JAVED HASNAIN RASHID (HJHR)	Yes	-	yes	-	Yes	-	72	-
						Rehman Habib Consultants Pvt. Ltd	Yes	-	Yes	-	Yes	-	85	-
						RSM Avis Hyder Liaquat Nauman Chartered Accountants	Yes	-	-	Yes	-	-	-	No document was provided against 2 years of monitoring experience

## Technical Evaluation Report of Request for Proposal (RFP) Stage

Allocation of funds	Date of letter inviting bids from pre-qualified consulting	No. of RFP Document Sold	No. of RFP Submitted	Closing date of RFP	Opening date of RFP	Details/No. of Consulting Firms/Companies participated in bidding	Status of qualified and disqualified consulting Firms/companies		Technical Score	Reasons for disqualification
							Qualified	Disqualified		
Estimated Cost: PKR 16.11 Million	20-Nov-25	N/A	8	05-Dec-25	05-Dec-25	Associates in Development (Pvt) Ltd	Yes	-	84.8	-
						Empowerment thru Creative Integration	Yes	-	90	-
						EY Ford Rhodes	Yes	-	92	-
						GAT Consulting	Yes	-	77.2	-
						Himat Consulting Private Limited	Yes	Yes	54.4	Submitted audited financial reports and statements do not meet Clause A.2 requirements, as they are not audited by an A or B rated audit firm, and their tax return and financial turnover limit also not meet our criteria
						KPMG	Yes	-	93.4	The evidence submitted does not comply with Clause A-1 (Experience of the Firm) requirements of the RFP technical evaluation criteria.
						Nauman Javed Hasnain Rashid (HJHR) Chartered Accountants	-	Yes	59.8	Submitted audited financial reports and statements do not meet Clause A.2 requirements, as they are not audited by an A or B rated audit firm, and their tax return and financial turnover limit also not meet our criteria
						Rehman Habib Consultants Pvt. Ltd	Yes	-	76.2	The evidence submitted does not comply with Clause A-1 (Experience of the Firm) requirements of the RFP technical evaluation criteria.

